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- The commission was established by the Presiding Officers in 2016 to
  - Review the appropriateness of existing educational specifications for school construction projects
  - Identify the best practices and possible efficiencies in public school construction
  - Identify innovative financing mechanisms and alternatives to traditional financing for construction and maintenance
  - Evaluate the appropriate role for State agencies with respect to school construction
  - Review the relationship between the State and local governments on school construction projects
- Met 17 times over two years and delivered the final report to the General Assembly in January 2018
- Arrived at a series of findings and recommendations that met the themes of (1) flexibility; (2) streamlining the process; (3) providing incentives; (4) focusing the State's role on providing expertise and assistance; and (5) transparency
- Chapter 14 of 2018 (HB 1783) generally implements the commission's recommendations, among other provisions
School Facility Standards and Assessment

• The Interagency Commission on School Construction (IAC) must adopt educational sufficiency standards and a facility condition index for public schools
  – Standards are a uniform set of criteria and measures for evaluating the physical and educational suitability of public school facilities
  – They are *minimum* standards

• IAC must complete an initial statewide facilities assessment using the sufficiency standards by July 1, 2019
  – Procurement for a vendor to conduct the assessment is under protest and awaiting decision by the State Board of Contract Appeals

• Results of the initial facility assessment must be shared with this workgroup
  – Working with local jurisdictions, the workgroup must consider (1) how the relative condition of facilities should be prioritized; and (2) if appropriate, how the results of the assessment should be used in making funding decisions

• Facility condition data must be updated at least every four years
Funding Levels for School Construction

- Legislative intent that the State should provide at least $345 million for public school construction in fiscal 2019 – this goal was surpassed

- Legislative intent that, as soon as practicable and within debt affordability guidelines, the State should provide at least $400 million each year (which can be phased in) – this goal was again surpassed in fiscal 2020

- Annual funding goal should be recalculated to reflect the initial facility assessment and this workgroup’s findings and recommendations
Alternative Financing

• Chapter 14 expands allowable alternative financing methods to include “design-construct-operate-maintain-finance” arrangements

  – These allow local school systems to enter into a public-private partnership agreement with a private entity or county revenue authority

• IAC must, in consultation with the Maryland Stadium Authority, provide technical assistance and support to local school systems on the use of alternative financing and project delivery methods

• IAC must explore the feasibility of regional construction projects
Educational Specifications Workgroup

• Established by Chapter 14 to
  – Assess the appropriateness of square footage allocations
  – Review the alignment of school design standards with square footage allocations
  – Examine the use of regional square footage figures
  – Review the process for determining State-rated capacity
  – Examine the differences in facility cost-per-student by type of school and jurisdiction
Assessment and Funding of School Facilities Workgroup

- Established by Chapter 14 to
  - Consider how the relative condition of facilities based on the standards and the index should be prioritized
  - Determine if and how the results of the facility assessment should be used in funding decisions
  - Consider whether the State should offer funding incentives to reduce the total cost of ownership of facilities
Other Major Provisions

• Expanded and strengthened the role of IAC in approving State funding for school construction projects

• Required the Department of General Services (DGS) to develop a certification process that enables qualified local school systems to conduct their own reviews of design and construction documents (instead of DGS)

• Required IAC to develop incentives for local school systems to construct energy-efficient schools

• Established a revolving loan fund to assist local school systems with a local share of construction costs